# In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

Filed: March 31, 2020

\* JOHN SQUADRONI, UNPUBLISHED \* \* No. 16-1102V Petitioner, \* Special Master Gowen \* v. Attorneys' Fees and Costs SECRETARY OF HEALTH \* AND HUMAN SERVICES, Respondent. \* \*

<u>Howard S. Gold</u>, Gold Law Firm, LLC, Wellesley Hills, MA, for Petitioner. <u>Darryl R. Wishard</u>, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

### DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>

On December 3, 2019, John Squadroni ("Petitioner") filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner's Motion for Attorney Fees ("Fees App.") (ECF No. 45). For the reasons discussed below, I **GRANT** Petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs and awards a total of \$39,164.30.

### I. Procedural History

On September 2, 2016, Petitioner filed a petition in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.<sup>2</sup> Petitioner alleged that he suffered from "back pain, lumbar pain, shoulder pain and sacral pain" as a result of receiving the Tetanus-diphtheria-pertussis ("Tdap") vaccination on March 14, 2014. Petition at ¶1 (ECF No. 1). On November 7, 2019, I issued my

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I intend to post this Ruling on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the ruling will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2012) ("Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). All citations in this decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C.A. § 300aa.

Entitlement Decision, dismissing the petition for insufficient proof. (ECF No. 44).

On December 3, 2019, Petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioner requests compensation for his attorney, Mr. Howard Gold, in the total amount of \$39,164.30, representing \$27,580.25 in attorneys' fees and \$11,584.05 in costs. Fees App. at 8-9. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, Petitioner warrants he has not personally incurred any costs in pursuit of his claim. *Id.* at 2. Respondent reacted to the fees motion on December 4, 2019, stating that "Respondent is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." Response at 2 (ECF No. 46). Petitioner did not file a reply thereafter.

The matter is now ripe for adjudication.

#### II. Analysis

Under the Vaccine Act, the special master may award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for a petition that does not result in an award of compensation but was filed in good faith and supported by a reasonable basis. § 300aa–15(e)(1). In this case, I find no cause to doubt the good faith or reasonable basis of bringing this claim and respondent has not objected to the good faith or reasonable basis of the claim either. Accordingly, I find that Petitioner is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Petitioners "bea[r] the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred" are reasonable. *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1993). Adequate proof of the claimed fees and costs should be presented when the motion is filed. *Id.* at 484 n. 1. The special master has the discretion to reduce awards *sua sponte*, independent of enumerated objections from the respondent. *Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 208–09 (Fed. Cl. 2009); *Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313 (Fed. Cl. 2008), *aff'd* No. 99–537V, 2008 WL 2066611 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 22, 2008).

#### a. Attorneys' Fees

Petitioner requests that his counsel, Mr. Howard Gold, be compensated at the following rates: \$370.00 per hour for work performed in 2016, \$380.00 per hour for work performed in 2017, \$390.00 per hour for work performed in 2018, and \$400.00 per hour for work performed in 2019. These rates are consistent with what Mr. Gold has previously been awarded for his work in the Vaccine Program, and I find them to be reasonable herein.

Turning next to review of the submitted billing statement, I find that the overall hours spent on this matter appear to be reasonable. The entries are reasonable and accurately describe the work being performed and the length of time it took to perform each task. Respondent also has not identified any particular entries as being objectionable. Therefore, Petitioner is entitled to final attorneys' fees of \$27,580.25.

## b. Attorneys' Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of costs must be reasonable. Perreira v.

Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests total attorneys' costs in the amount of \$11,584.05. Fees App. at 9. This amount is comprised of acquiring medical records, the Court's filing fee, airfare for counsel to meet with Petitioner, and the work of Petitioner's two experts, Drs. M. Eric Gershwin and Raymond Singer. Petitioner has provided adequate documentation supporting all of his requested costs, and all appear reasonable in my experience. The amount expended on expert work appears reasonable in light of the work performed in the instant case. Petitioner is therefore entitled to the full amount of costs sought.

#### III. Conclusion

In accordance with the foregoing, Petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs is **GRANTED**. I find that Petitioner is entitled to a reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs as follows:

Attorneys' Fees Requested	\$27,580.25
(Reduction of Fees)	-
Total Attorneys' Fees Awarded	\$27,580.25
Attorneys' Costs Requested	\$11,584.05
(Reduction of Costs)	-
Total Attorneys' Costs Awarded	\$11,584.05
Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$39,164.30

Accordingly, I award a lump sum in the amount of \$39,164.30, representing reimbursement for Petitioner's attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner and his attorney, Mr. Howard Gold.<sup>3</sup>

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>4</sup>

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Thomas L. Gowen

Thomas L. Gowen Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs," and fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, Section 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).